

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,672	11/24/2003	Wu-Hong Hsieh	MR929-939	1639
4586	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101			STERLING, AMY JO	
	ICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SOITE 101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3632	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/718,672	HSIEH, WU-HONG
○ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amy J. Sterling	3632
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	This action is nón-final. owance except for formal matters	-
closed in accordance with the practice und	ier Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	,	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) accepted or b) of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rrection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	Ω □	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/718,672

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the **Final Office Action** for application number 10/718,672 Positioning Foot for an Instrument Stand, filed on 11/24/03. Claims 1-5 are pending. This **Final Office Action** is in response to applicant's reply dated 12/27/04.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 1523703 to Morfitt.

Morfitt discloses a positioning foot having a pad (3) adapted to be mounted on the free end of a leg, having a through hole (6) defining through the pad and a groove defined (12) in two opposite side faces of the pad, and a spike (5, 14) which is pivotally and slidably (the spike can be slid outward from the pad as the screw knob (23) is loosened) received in a corresponding one of the pads to be adjustably extended transversely outward and pivotally displaced relative thereto, the spike which is divided into an engaging end (27) which has a first position selectively received in a recessed

Application/Control Number: 10/718,672

Art Unit: 3632

manner is one groove and a second position in a protruding manner therefrom and a connecting end (22) in an L-shape, which is angularly offset in orientation one from

another, the connection end passing through the pad and releasably locked with has a

knob (23) screwingly connected to the connecting end such that the spike is able to

pivot relative to the knob.

Morfitt does not show wherein there are plurality of pads and spikes.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a two crutches

with the above configuration so that there are a plurality of pads and spikes, in order to

fully support the user of the crutch.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The following documents are considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.

The documents show various spike tips

3901258 to Montgomery

2667885 to Prete

1285836 to Sutherland

1164608 to Care

990006 to Reading

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/718,672

Art Unit: 3632

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 703-308-3271. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 703-872-9306 (formal amendments) or 703-308-3519 (informal amendments/ communications). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 703-308-2168.

Amy J. Sterlina